

## Group Representations

Let  $G$  be a group. We say that  $G$  *acts on* a set  $X$  (on the left) if there is a set map  $G \times X \rightarrow X$ , sending  $(g, x)$  to  $g \cdot x \in X$ , such that  $1 \cdot x = x$  and  $g \cdot (h \cdot x) = (gh) \cdot x$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $g, h \in G$ .

Now fix a field  $F$ . A vector space  $V$  over  $F$  is called a  $G$ -*module* (or *representation* of  $G$ ) if the group  $G$  acts on the set  $V$ , and if for each  $g \in G$  there is a linear transformation  $\sigma(g) : V \rightarrow V$  such that  $g \cdot x = \sigma(g)(x)$  for all  $x \in V$ . A *trivial representation* is a representation with  $g \cdot x = x$  for all  $g \in G$ .

Let  $GL(V)$  denote the group of linear automorphisms of  $V$ ; if  $V = F^d$  then  $GL(V) = GL_d(F)$ . If  $V$  is a  $G$ -module then  $\sigma : G \rightarrow GL(V)$  is a group homomorphism. Conversely, any group homomorphism  $\sigma : G \rightarrow GL(V)$  makes  $V$  into a  $G$ -module. Some authors take this as the definition of representation.

A representation  $V$  of  $G$  is also the same thing as a module over the ring  $FG$ . Here the *group ring* of  $G$  is a vector space  $FG$  with basis  $G$ , made into a ring with the product  $(\sum \alpha_i g_i)(\sum \beta_j h_j) = \sum (\alpha_i \beta_j)(g_i h_j)$ ,  $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in F$  and  $g_i, h_j \in G$ .

A  $G$ -*map* (= homomorphism of  $G$ -modules) is a linear transformation  $f : V \rightarrow W$  commuting with the action of  $G$  in the sense that  $f(g \cdot v) = g \cdot f(v)$ . Of course, this is the same thing as a homomorphism of modules over the ring  $FG$ .

**Permutation representations.** Let the set  $X$  be a basis of a vector space  $V$ . Any action of  $G$  on  $X$  can be extended linearly into an action of  $G$  on  $V$ ; such a representation is called a *permutation representation* because  $G$  permutes the basis. Each matrix  $\sigma(g)$  consists of 0's and 1's. The *regular representation* is an example:  $V$  is the group ring  $FG$ ,  $X = G$  and if  $v = \sum a_i g_i$  then  $g \cdot v = \sum a_i (gg_i)$ .

**1-dimensional representations.** A 1-dimensional representation (of  $G$  on  $F$ ) is equivalent to a group map  $G \xrightarrow{\sigma} F^*$ . Since  $F^*$  is an abelian group, the commutator subgroup  $[G, G]$  must map to 1, so the representation factors through  $G \rightarrow G/[G, G]$ . If  $G$  has  $n$  elements each  $\sigma(g)$  must be an  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of unity, because  $g^n = 1$  in  $G$ . The absence of  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity in  $F$  can affect the existence of 1-dimensional representations.

Let  $C_n$  denote the cyclic group of order  $n$ , with generator  $\theta$ . It follows that the 1-dimensional representations of  $C_n$  (over  $F$ ) are in 1-1 correspondence with the set of  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity  $\zeta$  in  $F$  (take  $\sigma(\theta) = \zeta$ ). The group  $C_2$  has two 1-dimensional representations: the trivial representation and the *sign* representation ( $\theta \cdot a = -a$ ). The cyclic group  $C_3$  has three 1-dimensional representations if  $F = \mathbb{C}$ , but only one if  $F = \mathbb{R}$ .

**Operations.** Standard operations on vector spaces ( $\oplus, \otimes, \Lambda^*$ , etc.) also induce operations on  $G$ -modules. Let  $V = F^m$  and  $W = F^n$  be two representations. The direct sum  $V \oplus W = F^{m+n}$  is a representation with  $g \cdot (v + w) = (g \cdot v) + (g \cdot w)$ , and the tensor product  $V \otimes W = F^{mn}$  is a representation with  $g \cdot (v \otimes w) = (g \cdot v) \otimes (g \cdot w)$ .

Let  $\Lambda^d V$  denote the  $d^{\text{th}}$  exterior product of  $V$ , i.e., the vector space of dimension  $\binom{m}{d}$  consisting of all alternating  $d$ -forms  $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_d$  on  $V$ . The action of  $G$  on  $\Lambda^d V$  is given by the formula  $g \cdot (x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_d) = (g \cdot x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (g \cdot x_d)$ . For example, if  $d = m$  then under the usual identification of  $\Lambda^m F^m$  with  $F$  the action of  $g$  on  $F$  is multiplication by  $\det(\sigma(g))$ .

**Definition.** A nonzero  $G$ -module  $V$  is called *irreducible* (= a *simple* module) if no proper subspace is a  $G$ -submodule.  $V$  is called *completely reducible* (= *semisimple*) if it is a direct sum of irreducible  $G$ -modules.

Clearly, every 1-dimensional representation is irreducible. If  $\dim(V) = 2$ , there is a simple test for irreducibility:  $V$  is irreducible if no vector  $v \neq 0$  in  $V$  is an eigenvalue for all of the  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $\sigma(g)$ ,  $g \in G$ .

Here is a general test to see if  $V$  is irreducible. For every  $v \neq 0$ , does the orbit of  $v$  (the set  $G \cdot v = \{g \cdot v, g \in G\}$ , which includes  $1 \cdot v = v$ ) span  $V$ ? If so,  $V$  is irreducible. If not, the span of  $G \cdot v$  is a proper  $G$ -submodule.

**Examples.** 1) The regular representation of  $C_2 = \{1, \theta\}$  on the plane is given by  $\theta(x, y) = (y, x)$ . The two vectors  $(1, \pm 1)$  are eigenvectors so this representation is the direct sum  $F(1, 1) \oplus F(1, -1)$ .

2) The dihedral group  $D_n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) is defined as the group of isometries in the plane fixing the regular  $n$ -gon; the 2-dimensional representation defining  $D_n$  is irreducible (as the reflections have different eigenspaces, or because each  $v$  and its rotate by  $2\pi/n$  span the plane).

3) The quaternionic group  $Q = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$  has an obvious 4-dimensional representation on the quaternions  $\mathbb{H}$ . (We take  $F = \mathbb{R}$ .) If  $v \neq 0$ , I claim that  $\{v, iv, jv, kv\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{H}$ ; this shows that  $\mathbb{H}$  is an irreducible representation of  $Q$ . To show this, suppose given  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a_1v + a_2(iv) + a_3(jv) + a_4(kv) = 0$ . Multiplying on the right by  $v^{-1}$  yields  $a_1 + a_2i + a_3j + a_4k = 0$ , so all the  $a_i = 0$ .

3) The symmetric group  $S_4$  acts on the regular tetrahedron in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by permuting the 4 vertices. This extends by linearity to an action of  $S_4$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , which is irreducible (exercise!). More generally,  $S_n$  acts on the regular  $n$ -simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , giving an irreducible  $(n-1)$ -dimensional representation of  $S_n$ .

**Schur's Lemma.** 1)  $V$  is irreducible  $\Leftrightarrow V \cong (FG)/I$  for some maximal left ideal. 2) If  $V, W$  are irreducible, any nonzero  $G$ -map  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is an isomorphism. 3) If  $V$  is irreducible, the ring  $\Delta = \text{End}_G(V)$  of all  $G$ -maps  $V \rightarrow V$  is a division algebra. (A division algebra is an  $F$ -algebra in which every non-zero element is a unit.) If  $F$  is algebraically closed then  $\Delta = F$  (multiplication by scalars).

*Proof.* 1) Any choice of  $v \neq 0$  in  $V$  yields a nonzero  $G$ -map  $FG \rightarrow V$  sending 1 to  $v$ . Its kernel  $I$  is a left ideal, so its image is  $FG/I$ . This is a nonzero  $G$ -submodule of  $V$ , and every ideal  $J$  containing  $I$  yields a submodule  $J/I$  of  $V$ . If  $V$  is irreducible we must have  $FG/I \cong V$  with no ideals  $J$  containing  $I$ . 2) If  $W$  is irreducible and  $f \neq 0$ ,  $f(V)$  must be  $W$  and  $\ker(f) \neq V$ . When  $V$  is irreducible this forces  $\ker(f) = 0$ , which means that  $f$  is an isomorphism. 3) Any nonzero  $G$ -map  $f : V \rightarrow V$  must be an isomorphism by 2), in which case  $f^{-1}$  exists and is a  $G$ -map. This proves that every nonzero element  $f$  is invertible in  $\Delta$ .

**Examples with  $F = \mathbb{R}$ .** The only (finite-dimensional) division algebras over  $\mathbb{R}$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{H}$ . (Over  $F = \mathbb{C}$  the only f.d. division algebra is  $\mathbb{C}$  itself.)

1) Consider the rotation representation of  $C_3$  on the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The  $2 \times 2$  matrices commuting with this action are products of scaling by  $r$  and rotation by  $\alpha$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} r \cos(\alpha) & r \sin(\alpha) \\ -r \sin(\alpha) & r \cos(\alpha) \end{pmatrix} = r \cos(\alpha) + ir \sin(\alpha), \quad i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

These form a subring  $\Delta$  of  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

2) For the canonical representation of the quaternion group  $Q$  on  $\mathbb{H}$ , we have  $\Delta = \mathbb{H}$ . (Of course!)

**Corollary to Schur's Lemma.** *If  $W \subset V$  is a submodule and  $V$  is completely reducible, then  $V = W \oplus W'$  for some complementary submodule  $W'$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $V = \oplus V_\alpha$  with  $V_\alpha$  irreducible. By Zorn's lemma, there is a largest family  $\{\alpha_i\}$  so that  $W \cap \oplus V_{\alpha_i} = 0$ ; set  $W' = \oplus V_{\alpha_i}$ . If  $W \oplus W'$  isn't  $V$ , it doesn't contain some  $V_\beta$ ; this would imply  $(W \oplus W') \cap V_\beta = 0$ , leading to a contradiction.

*Remark.* The regular representation is never irreducible (unless  $G = 1$ ). To see this, recall that the norm element of  $FG$  is the sum  $N = \sum g$  of every element in  $G$ . Since  $gN = N$  for all  $g \in G$ ,  $N$  generates a 1-dimensional submodule  $F \cdot N$  of  $FG$ .

The next theorem states that  $FG$  is completely reducible (when  $1/|G|$  exists in  $F$ ), and that it contains *every* irreducible representation at least once. Therefore  $FG = F \cdot N \oplus W'$  for some  $W'$ . In fact, since  $N^2 = |G| \cdot N$ , the element  $e = N/|G|$  is an idempotent of the ring  $FG$  and  $FG = W \oplus W'$  with  $W' = FG(1 - e)$ .

The hypothesis (that  $1/|G|$  exists in  $F$ ) fails only when  $F$  has characteristic  $p > 0$  and  $p$  divides  $|G|$ . In this case, the regular representation is *never* completely reducible, because  $F \cdot N \subset FG$  has no complement. (If  $FG = F \cdot N \oplus W'$  then some nonzero multiple of  $N$  must be idempotent, which is impossible because  $N^2 = |G| \cdot N = 0$ .)

**Maschke's Theorem.** *If  $G$  is a finite group and  $\frac{1}{|G|} \in F$ , then:*

- 1) *Every representation of  $G$  is completely reducible.*
- 2) *There are only a finite number  $s$  of irreducible representations  $V_i$  (up to isomorphism), with  $V_i$  occurring  $n_i \geq 1$  times in the regular representation of  $G$  on  $FG$ .*

*We will write  $\Delta_i$  for the division algebra  $\text{End}_G(V_i)$ , and set  $d_i = \dim_F(\Delta_i)$ .*

- 3) *The  $i^{\text{th}}$  irreducible representation has dimension  $d_i n_i$ . Hence*

$$|G| = \sum_{i=1}^s d_i n_i^2.$$

- 4)  *$FG$  is the product of the  $s$  matrix rings  $M_{n_i}(\Delta_i)$ . The projection  $FG \rightarrow M_{n_i}(\Delta_i)$  allows us to identify  $V_i$  with the  $M_{n_i}(\Delta_i)$ -module  $\Delta_i^{n_i}$ .*

Some explanation of parts 3) and 4) is in order. The matrix ring  $M_{n_i}(\Delta_i)$  is the direct sum of its  $n_i$  columns, each being the irreducible representation  $V_i = \Delta_i^{n_i}$  of dimension  $d_i n_i$  over  $F$ . Summing over  $i = 1, \dots, s$  yields the decomposition of the  $|G|$ -dimensional representation  $FG$  into its irreducible components.

**Corollary (Complex representations).** *Suppose that  $F = \mathbb{C}$ . Then*

$$|G| = \sum n_i^2, \text{ where } n_i = \dim(V_i).$$

*If  $G$  is abelian then there are exactly  $|G|$  irreducible representations, all of them 1-dimensional. Every representation is a direct sum of 1-dimensional representations.*

Indeed, we must have  $\Delta_i = \mathbb{C}$  and  $FG = M_{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times M_{n_s}(\mathbb{C})$ . If  $G$  is abelian,  $FG$  is a commutative ring; this forces  $n_1 = \cdots = n_s = 1$ , or  $FG = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbb{C}$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $c$  denote the number of conjugacy classes of elements of  $G$ . If  $F = \mathbb{C}$  then there are  $c$  irreducible representations of  $G$ . In general, the center  $E_i$  of  $\Delta_i$  is a finite field extension of  $F$ , and  $c = \sum_{i=1}^s \dim_F(E_i) \geq s$ .

The connection to Maschke's theorem comes from the observation that the center of  $FG$  is  $\prod E_i$ . Write  $C_1, \dots, C_c$  for the conjugacy classes of  $G$ . The  $c$  elements  $z_j = \sum \{g \in C_j\}$  are central elements of  $FG$ , and form a basis for the center of  $FG$ .

**Examples.** 1) If  $F = \mathbb{C}$  then  $C_3$  has three irreducible 1-dimensional representations. If  $F = \mathbb{R}$  then  $C_3$  has only two irreducible representations: the trivial representation  $V_1 = \mathbb{R}$  and the rotation representation on the plane  $V_2 = \mathbb{R}^2$ .

2) The dihedral group  $D_2 = C_2 \times C_2$  is abelian, so it has 4 one-dimensional representations—even over  $\mathbb{R}$ . The regular representation  $FD_2$  is the sum of these 4 representations. Finding the irreducible representations of  $D_3$  and  $D_5$  is an exercise.

3) The dihedral group  $D_4$  has 8 elements, and  $D_4/[D_4, D_4]$  is  $C_2 \times C_2$ . Thus it has exactly 4 one-dimensional representations. We have already observed that  $D_4$  has a 2-dimensional irreducible representation  $V$  as its “birth certificate”. Since  $8 = 4 \cdot 1 + 2^2$ , this accounts for all the irreducible representations of  $D_4$ .

4) The quaternionic group  $Q$  has 8 elements and 5 conjugacy classes. Since  $Q/[Q, Q] = Q/\{\pm 1\} = C_2 \times C_2$ , there are exactly 4 one-dimensional representations. Counting ( $8 = 4 + 4$ ) shows there is exactly one other irreducible representation  $V_5$ , of dimension 2 or 4 depending on  $F$ . If  $F = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $V_5$  is the 4-dimensional representation of  $Q$  on  $\mathbb{H}$ ; if  $F = \mathbb{C}$  then  $V_5$  is the 2-dimensional representation of  $Q$  on  $\mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$  (and  $n_5 = 2$ ).

**Exercises.** 1) Consider the rotation representation of  $C_3$  on the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Write this as the direct sum of two 1-dimensional representations over  $F = \mathbb{C}$ .

2) Provide details for the sketch given above that the 2-dimensional representation of  $D_n$  is irreducible when  $n \geq 3$ .

3) Describe all irreducible representations of  $D_3$  and  $D_5$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  and over  $\mathbb{C}$ . *Hint:* Find two actions of  $D_5$  on the regular pentagon.

4) Prove that the 3-dimensional representation of  $S_4$  arising from the action on the regular tetrahedron is irreducible.

5) Determine all irreducible complex representations of the alternating group  $A_4$  (12 elements). *Hint.* Use the fact that  $[A_4, A_4]$  has 4 elements to write down all group maps  $A_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ . Then let  $G$  act on the set  $X = \{(12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$  of elements of  $A_4$  by conjugation, and prove that  $FX$  is irreducible.

6) If  $V$  is irreducible and  $W$  is any 1-dimensional representation of  $G$ , show that the tensor product  $V \otimes W$  is also an irreducible representation of  $G$ .

**Young Tableaux.** Let  $S_n$  denote the symmetric group on  $n$  elements. The number of conjugacy classes of  $S_n$  equals the number of unordered partitions of  $n$ ; the unordered partition  $\lambda = \{r_1, \dots, r_h\}$  corresponds to the conjugacy class of  $(1, \dots, r_1) \dots (n+1-r_h, \dots, n)$ . Since the order of the  $r_i$  doesn't matter, we always assume that  $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \dots \geq r_h$ . Each partition  $\lambda$  determines an arrangement of  $n$  empty boxes into  $h$  rows, the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row has  $r_i$  boxes; such an arrangement is called a

*Young Tableau* of shape  $\lambda$  and size  $n$ . The corresponding irreducible representation  $S^\lambda$  of  $S_n$  is sometimes called the *Specht module* of  $\lambda$ . We shall write  $f^\lambda$  for  $\dim(S^\lambda)$ .

If we fill in the boxes of a Young tableau of shape  $\lambda$  with the numbers  $1, \dots, n$  we get a *Young diagram*  $D$ . We call  $D$  *standard* if a) the entries in every row are increasing, and b) the entries in every column are increasing. The number of standard Young diagrams of shape  $\lambda$  equals  $f^\lambda = \dim S^\lambda$ .

There is a simple product formula for  $f^\lambda$ , called the *hook formula*. If  $(i, j)$  is a box in a Young Tableau, the corresponding *hooklength*  $h_{ij}$  is the number of boxes in the “hook”  $\{(i, k), k \geq j\} \cup \{(k, j), k \geq i\}$  with vertex  $(i, j)$ . The hook formula says that

$$\dim(S^\lambda) = f^\lambda = \frac{n!}{\prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} h_{ij}}.$$

If  $R$  (resp.  $C$ ) denotes the subgroup of  $S_n$  consisting of permutations which merely permute the entries in the rows (resp. in the columns) of  $D$ , then the Specht module may be described as  $S^\lambda = (FS_n)f_D \subseteq FS_n$ , where  $f_D \in FS_n$  is the sum

$$f_D = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in C \\ \sigma \in R}} (-1)^\tau \tau \sigma.$$

**Representations of  $S_4$ .** The only partitions of  $n = 4$  are  $\{1, 1, 1, 1\}$ ,  $\{2, 1, 1\}$ ,  $\{2, 2\}$ ,  $\{3, 1\}$  and  $\{4\}$ , corresponding to the 5 Young tableau of size 4. Therefore there are exactly 5 irreducible representations of  $S_4$ . The only way to add up to 24 using five squares is  $24 = 1+1+4+9+9$ , so  $S_4$  has two irreducible 3-dimensional representations (corresponding to two actions of  $S_4$  on the regular tetrahedron), one irreducible 2-dimensional representation ( $S_4$  acts on the triangle in the plane by  $S_4 \rightarrow D_3 \subset GL_2(F)$ ) and two 1-dimensional representations (the trivial representation and the sign representation). Of course, the dimensions of these representations can also be found by the hook formula.

**Characters of finite groups.** For simplicity, we concentrate on representations of a finite group  $G$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The *character*  $\chi_V$  of a representation  $V = \mathbb{C}^n$  is defined to be the set map  $\chi_V : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  sending  $g$  to the trace of the matrix  $\sigma(g)$ . This map is independent of the choice of basis for  $V$ , since the trace is independent of this choice. This also shows that if  $V$  and  $W$  are isomorphic representations then  $\chi_V = \chi_W$ . Note that  $\chi_V$  determines the dimension of  $V$ , because  $\chi_V(1) = \text{trace}(I) = \dim(V)$ . We will see that in fact  $\chi_V$  completely determines  $V$  (over  $F = \mathbb{C}$ ).

**Examples.** 1) Let  $V$  be the 2-dimensional rotation representation of the cyclic group  $C_n$ . Then  $\chi_V(\theta^k) = 2 \cos(2\pi k/n)$  for all  $k$ .

2) The character of the regular representation  $V = \mathbb{C}G$  is easy to work out. The matrix  $\sigma(g)$  consists of 0's and 1's, and the  $(i, i)$  entry is 1 exactly when  $g \cdot g_i = g_i$  in  $G$ . This never happens when  $g \neq 1$ , meaning that all diagonal entries are 0, and so the trace is 0. In conclusion, if  $g \neq 1$  then  $\chi_{\mathbb{C}G}(g) = 0$ .

3) The character of a 1-dimensional representation  $V$  is  $\chi_V(g) = \sigma(g)$ , simply because the trace of a  $1 \times 1$  matrix  $(a)$  is  $a$ . These characters are not so interesting.

The characters  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_s$  of the irreducible representations  $V_1, \dots, V_s$  are called the “irreducible” characters. Every character  $\chi_V$  is a linear combination of the

irreducible characters in the vector space  $\mathbb{C}^G$  of all set maps  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . To see this, write  $V$  as the sum  $V = V_{i_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{i_r}$  of irreducible representations. This puts the matrices  $\sigma(g)$  in block diagonal form, and we have  $\chi_V(g) = \chi_{i_1}(g) + \cdots + \chi_{i_r}(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ . In particular, the character of the regular representation is  $\sum n_i \chi_i$ , from which we deduce that for every  $g \neq 1$  we have  $\sum n_i \chi_i(g) = 0$ . (And of course  $\sum n_i \chi_i(1) = \sum n_i^2 = |G|$ .)

A *class function* on  $G$  is a function  $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which is constant on conjugacy classes, i.e., if  $g' = hgh^{-1}$  then  $\phi(g') = \phi(g)$ . The characters  $\chi_V$  are examples of class functions, because  $\chi_V(g)$  and  $\chi_V(g')$  are the traces of the matrices  $\sigma(g)$  and  $\sigma(g') = P\sigma(g)P^{-1}$ ,  $P = \sigma(h)$ . We choose representatives  $g_1, \dots, g_c$  of the  $c$  conjugacy classes of  $G$ ; any class function (including  $\chi_V$ ) is then completely determined by its values on these  $c$  elements. Thus the class functions form a  $c$ -dimensional vector subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^G$ . We are going to show that the irreducible characters  $\chi_i$  of  $G$  form a basis of this vector space. Since they belong to this subspace, and there are  $s = c$  of them, it suffices to show that they are linearly independent. This follows from the following result, whose proof we omit.

**Orthogonality Relations.** *The irreducible characters are orthogonal (with respect to the usual hermitian inner product) in the vector space  $\mathbb{C}^G$ :*

$$\langle \chi_i | \chi_j \rangle = \sum_{g \in G} \chi_i(g)^* \chi_j(g) = \begin{cases} |G| & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

**Corollary.** *The irreducible characters  $\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_c\}$  form a basis for the vector space of class functions on  $G$ .*

*Thus every class function  $\phi$  uniquely determines complex numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_c$  such that  $\phi(g) = \sum a_i \chi_i(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ . In fact,  $a_i = \frac{1}{|G|} \langle \phi | \chi_i \rangle$ . In particular, the character  $\chi_V$  of any representation  $V$  uniquely determines integers  $m_i$  such that*

$$V \cong \overbrace{V_1 \oplus \cdots V_1}^{m_1} \oplus \overbrace{V_2 \oplus \cdots V_2}^{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \overbrace{V_c \oplus \cdots V_c}^{m_c}.$$

**Character tables.** The complex numbers  $\chi_i(g_j)$  assemble to form a  $c \times c$  matrix, called the *character table* of  $G$ . The above results state that the character table tells us almost everything about all representations of  $G$ . The Orthogonality Relations imply that the columns are linearly independent (being orthogonal). The character table is not quite a unitary matrix; it satisfies the relation  $A^{*t}A = |G| \cdot I$  instead.

- The character tables of  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  are  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$ .
- For  $S_3$  there are 3 conjugacy classes, represented by:  $\{1, (12), (123)\}$ . The character table for  $S_3$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$