### Solution to HW 9

## $3.2 \ 5(c)$ .

Define a relation V on  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $V = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mid x = y \text{ or } xy = 1\}.$ **Claim:** V is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* We must show that V is reflexive on  $\mathbb{R}$ , symmetric, and transitive.

Reflexive on  $\mathbb{R}$ : Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since x = x, we have  $(x, x) \in V$ .

Symmetric: Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $(x, y) \in V$ . Then x = y or xy = 1.

This implies that y = x or yx = 1, hence  $(y, x) \in V$ .

Transitive: Let  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $(x, y) \in V$  and  $(y, z) \in V$ .

Then we have x = y or xy = 1. And we have y = z or yz = 1.

Case 1: Assume that x = y and y = z. Then x = z, hence  $(x, z) \in V$ .

Case 2: Assume that x = y and yz = 1. Then xz = 1, hence  $(x, z) \in V$ .

Case 3: Assume that xy = 1 and y = z. Then xz = 1, hence  $(x, z) \in V$ .

Case 4: Assume that xy = 1 and yz = 1. Then  $x = y^{-1} = z$ , hence  $(x, z) \in V$ .

This finishes the proof that V is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The equivalence class of 3 is

$$3/V = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid (x,3) \in V\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x = 3 \text{ or } 3x = 1\} = \{3, \frac{1}{3}\}.$$

The equivalence class of 
$$\frac{-2}{3}$$
 is  $\left(\frac{-2}{3}\right)/V = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid (x, \frac{-2}{3}) \in V\right\} = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x = \frac{-2}{3} \text{ or } \frac{-2}{3}x = 1\right\} = \left\{\frac{-2}{3}, \frac{-3}{2}\right\}$ . The equivalence class of 0 is

$$0/V = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid (x,0) \in V\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x = 0 \text{ or } 0x = 1\} = \{0\}.$$

### 3.2 7.

Reflexive relations: (b), (c), (d).

Symmetric relations: (b), (c).

Transitive relations: (a), (b), (c).

## 3.2 12.

Let A be a set and let R and S be equivalence relations on A.

**Claim:**  $R \cap S$  is an equivalence relation on A.

*Proof.* Since  $R \subset A \times A$  and  $S \subset A \times A$ , it follows that  $R \cap S \subset A \times A$ . Therefore  $R \cap S$  is a relation on A.

We must show that  $R \cap S$  is reflexive on A, symmetric, and transitive.

Reflexive: Let  $x \in A$ . Since R is reflexive, we have  $(x,x) \in R$ . Since S is reflexive, we have  $(x,x) \in S$ . It follows that  $(x,x) \in R \cap S$ .

Symmetric: Let  $x, y \in A$ . Assume that  $(x, y) \in R \cap S$ . Since R is symmetric and  $(x,y) \in R$ , we have  $(y,x) \in R$ . Since S is symmetric and  $(x,y) \in S$ , we have  $(y,x) \in S$ . It follows that  $(x,y) \in R \cap S$ .

Transitive: Let  $x, y, z \in A$ . Assume that  $(x, y) \in R \cap S$  and  $(y, z) \in R \cap S$ . Since R is transitive and  $(x,y) \in R$  and  $(y,z) \in R$ , we have  $(x,z) \in R$ . Since S is transitive and  $(x,y) \in S$  and  $(y,z) \in S$ , we have  $(x,z) \in S$ . It follows that  $(x,z) \in R \cap S$ .

This completes the proof that  $R \cap S$  is an equivalence relation on A. 

**3.3 3(a).** To be very descriptive, we need the following Lemma.

**Lemma**  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} : (x-1,x] \cap \mathbb{Z} \neq \emptyset$ .

```
Proof. Let x \in \mathbb{R}.
   Choose N \in \mathbb{Z} so large that N > x.
   Set S = \{ m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid m \ge N - x \}.
   Then S \subset \mathbb{N} and S \neq \emptyset.
    By WOP, S contains a smallest element m_0.
   Since m_0 \in S we have N - m_0 \leq x.
   Since m_0 - 1 \notin S we have N - m_0 > x - 1.
   It follows that N - m_0 \in (x - 1, x] \cap \mathbb{Z}.
                                                                                                               Define Q = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mid x - y \in \mathbb{Z}\}.
    The exercise tells us that Q is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{R}; I will not prove this.
   The corresponding partition of \mathbb{R} is the family of subsets \mathbb{R}/Q = \{x/Q \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}.
    Set I = [0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}.
   For z \in I, set A_z = \{z + m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid z - y \in \mathbb{Z}\}.
   Define the family \mathcal{P} = \{A_z \mid z \in I\}.
Theorem: \mathbb{R}/Q = \mathcal{P}.
Proof. Let S \in \mathbb{R}/Q.
   Then we can choose x \in \mathbb{R} such that S = x/Q.
   By the Lemma, we may choose n \in (x-1,x] \cap \mathbb{Z}.
   Set z = x - n. Then z \in I.
   Since x - z \in \mathbb{Z} we obtain
    S = x/Q = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} \mid (x, y) \in Q \}
       = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} \mid x - y \in \mathbb{Z} \} = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} \mid z - y \in \mathbb{Z} \} = A_z.
   It follows that S \in \mathcal{P}.
    Now let S \in \mathcal{P}.
   Then we can choose z \in I such that S = A_z.
   Since S = A_z = z/Q, we obtain S \in \mathbb{R}/Q.
                                                                                                               3.3 3(c).
   Define R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mid \sin(x) = \sin(y)\}.
   I will not show that this is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{R}.
   Set I = [-1, 1].
   For z \in I, set B_z = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sin(y) = z \}.
   From calculus we know that the restriction of \sin(x) to the interval [-\pi/2, \pi/2]
has an inverse function \sin^{-1}: [-1,1] \to [-\pi/2,\pi/2].
   For each z \in I we then have
    B_z = \{2\pi m + \sin^{-1}(z) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{\pi/2 + 2\pi m - \sin^{-1}(z) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.
   I will not prove this.
   Set \mathcal{P} = \{B_z \mid z \in I\}.
Theorem: \mathbb{R}/R = \mathcal{P}.
Proof. Let S \in \mathbb{R}/R.
   Choose x \in \mathbb{R} such that S = x/R.
   Set z = \sin(x).
   Then z \in I and S = x/R = \{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sin(x) = \sin(y)\} = B_z.
   Therefore S \in \mathcal{P}.
   Let S \in \mathcal{P}.
   Choose z \in I such that S = B_z.
```

```
Then S = B_z = z/R.
   Therefore S \in \mathbb{R}/R.
                                                                                                                  3.3 6(e).
   Let \mathcal{P} = \{A, B\} where A = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x < 3\} and B = \mathbb{Z} - A.
   Then \mathcal{P} is a partition of \mathbb{Z} (this will not be proved).
   The corresponding equivalence relation is defined by:
    R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid \exists S \in \mathcal{P} : x \in S \text{ and } y \in S\}.
   Set Q = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid (x < 3 \text{ and } y < 3) \text{ or } (x \ge 3 \text{ and } y \ge 3)\}.
Theorem: R = Q.
Proof. Let (x,y) \in R.
    Choose S \in \mathcal{P} such that x \in S and y \in S.
   By definition of \mathcal{P} we must have S = A or S = B.
    Case 1: Assume that S = A.
   Then x < 3 and y < 3, so (x, y) \in Q.
   Case 2: Assume that S = B.
   Then x \geq 3 and y \geq 3, so (x, y) \in Q.
   This proves that R \subset Q.
    The proof that Q \subset R is similar, by considering the same two cases.
                                                                                                                  3.3 7(b).
   For a \in \mathbb{R}, set A_a = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mid y = a - x^2\}.
   Set \mathcal{P} = \{A_a \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\}.
    Theorem: \mathcal{P} is a partition of \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.
Proof. According to the definition of a partition, we must prove claims 1-3 below.
   Claim 1: \forall S \in \mathcal{P} : S \neq \emptyset.
   Let S \in \mathcal{P}.
    Choose a \in \mathbb{R} such that S = A_a.
   Since (0, a) \in A_a, it follows that S \neq \emptyset.
   Claim 2: \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{P}} S = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}
   Let (x,y) \in \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{P}} S.
    Choose S \in \mathcal{P} such that (x, y) \in S.
    Choose a \in \mathbb{R} such that S = A_a.
   Since (x, y) \in A_a and A_a \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, we obtain (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.
   Let (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.
   Set a = x^2 + y.
   Then (x, y) \in A_a.
   Since A_a \in \mathcal{P}, this implies that (x, y) \in \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{P}} S.
   Claim 3: \forall S, T \in \mathcal{P} : S = T \text{ or } S \cap T = \emptyset.
    Let S, T \in \mathcal{P}.
    Choose a, b \in \mathbb{R} such that S = A_a and T = A_b.
   Case 1: If a = b then S = T holds.
    Case 2: Assume that a \neq b.
    In this case I will show that S \cap T = \emptyset.
   If this is false, then choose (x, y) \in S \cap T.
   Since (x, y) \in A_a we have a = x^2 + y.
   Since (x, y) \in A_b we have b = x^2 + y.
    It follows that a = b, a contradiction.
```

We conclude that Claim 3 is true.

# 3.3 7(c).

Let Q be the equivalence relation corresponding to the partition  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then Q is a relation on the set  $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ . It is given by:

Then 
$$Q$$
 is a relation on the set  $\mathbb{R}^- = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^- \times \mathbb{R}^-$ . It is given by: 
$$Q = \{((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \exists a \in \mathbb{R} : (x_1, y_1) \in A_a \text{ and } (x_2, y_2) \in A_a\}$$
$$= \{((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \exists a \in \mathbb{R} : y_1 + x_1^2 = a \text{ and } y_2 + x_2^2 = a\}$$
$$= \{((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \mid y_1 + x_1^2 = y_2 + x_2^2\}.$$