

# CLASSIFYING HOMOGENEOUS STRUCTURES III

GREGORY CHERLIN

ABSTRACT. On metrically homogeneous graphs.

## 1. THE PROBLEM

**Definition 1.1.** A connected graph is *metrically homogeneous* iff when considered as a metric space in the graph metric, it is homogeneous.

**Example 1.** An  $n$ -cycle; a regular tree.

**Remark 1.2.** A homogeneous metric space is derived from a metrically homogeneous graph iff the following hold.

- The metric is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued.
- If the distance  $\delta$  occurs, then a geodesic path of length  $\delta$  occurs.

**Remark 1.3.** If  $\Gamma$  is a bipartite graph which is homogeneous as a metric space with bipartition, then each part of  $\Gamma$  is also a metrically homogeneous graph with edge relation  $d(x, y) = 2$ .

**Lemma 1.4** (Macpherson). Let  $T_{r,s}$  be an  $s$ -regular tree of  $r$ -cliques. Then  $T_{r,s}$  is metrically homogeneous.

*Proof.* Let  $T(r, s)$  be an  $(r, s)$ -regular bipartitioned tree. Then  $T(r, s)$  is homogeneous as a metric space with bipartition. To see this, we have to check that the convex closure of a finite set can be computed from the metric structure. For example, if  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  are points at distances  $d_1, d_2, d_3$  from a common center  $v$ , then the perimeter of the triangle  $(v_1, v_2, v_3)$  is  $2(d_1 + d_2 + d_3)$ , so the metric information gives us  $d_1, d_2, d_3$  and we can locate the center.

The induced metrically homogeneous graphs on the parts are  $T_{r,s}$  and  $T_{s,r}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.5.**

1. If  $G$  is a connected graph of diameter at most 2, then  $G$  is metrically homogeneous iff  $G$  is homogeneous.

2. If  $G$  is metrically homogeneous and  $v \in G$ , then the connected components of the induced graph  $\Delta_i(v)$  are metrically homogeneous. In particular  $\Delta_1(v)$  is a homogeneous graph, hence finite, imprimitive, or (up to complementation) a Henson graph.

**Problem** ([Cam98, Che11]). *Classify the metrically homogeneous graphs.*

## 2. A CATALOG

The finite metrically homogeneous graphs were classified by Cameron (1977) as follows.

- The finite homogeneous graphs;
- The cycles;
- In diameter 3: the antipodal double of an independent set, a 5-cycle, or  $E(K_{3,3})$ .

**Conjecture 1.** *The metrically homogeneous graphs are the following.*

- *Connected homogeneous graphs ( $\delta \leq 2$ ).*
- *Finite antipodal graphs of diameter 3*
- *Tree-like graphs  $T_{r,s}$  ( $\Gamma_1$  is finite or imprimitive, or  $r = 2, s = \infty$ )*
- *Komjáth-Mekler-Pach/Henson graphs  $\Gamma^\delta)_{K,C;\mathcal{H}}$ —to be explained*
- *One further variation  $\mathcal{A}_{ap,n}^\delta$*

## 3. THE HENSON CONSTRUCTION

A clique (or simplex) is a set of vertices at mutual distance 1. A  $(1, \delta)$  space is a set of vertices at distances 1 or  $\delta$ .

If  $\delta \geq 3$  is the diameter, and  $\mathcal{H}$  is a set of  $(1, \delta)$ -spaces, we denote by  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}}^\delta$  the class of  $\mathcal{H}$ -free metric spaces of diameter  $\delta$ .

**Lemma 3.1.**  *$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}}^\delta$  is an amalgamation class.*

More precisely, the range of possible values  $r$  for  $d(u, v)$  over the base  $A$  is  $d^- \leq r \leq d^+$  with

$$\begin{aligned} d^- &= \max(i - j \mid i = d(u, x), j = d(x, v)) \\ d^+ &= \min(i + j \mid i = d(u, x), j = d(x, v)) \end{aligned}$$

Here  $d^- < \delta$  and  $d^+ > 1$  so the values 1,  $\delta$  may be avoided.

## 4. THE KOMJÁTH-MEKLER-PACH CONSTRUCTION

[KMP88]: There are countable universal  $\mathcal{C}$ -free graphs where  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of

- All odd cycles with length below some bound  $2K + 1$ ; or
- All cycles of girth at length  $C$

We will deal with the perimeters of triangles rather than the lengths of cycles. We define the following classes of triangles depending on four numerical parameters  $K_1, K_2, C_0, C_1$ .

- Even perimeter at least  $C_0$ ;
- Odd perimeter at least  $C_1$ ;
- Odd perimeter below  $2K_1 + 1$ ;
- Odd perimeter above  $2(K_2 + i)$  where  $i$  is an edge length.

**Definition 4.1.**

With  $K = (K_1, K_2)$  and  $C = (C_0, C_1)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{K,C}^\delta$  is the class of finite integral metric spaces of diameter at most  $\delta$ , omitting the triangles of type  $(K, C)$ .

When  $\mathcal{A}_{K,C}^\delta$  is an amalgamation class, then  $\Gamma_{K,C}^\delta$  is the associated metrically homogeneous graph. We call this *KMP-type*.

**Proposition 4.2.** *If a non-exceptional metrically homogeneous graph corresponds to an amalgamation class given by triangles, then it is a KMP-type graph.*

We may also pass to  $\mathcal{A}_{K,C;\mathcal{H}}^\delta$  by combining KMP and Henson constraints, under mild conditions on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

But we have not yet addressed the following.

**Question 1.** *What conditions on  $\delta, K, C$  correspond to having an amalgamation class?*

Some a priori considerations: the property of amalgamation can be stratified by the size of the amalgamation diagram. Let  $A_k$  denote the amalgamation property up to size  $k$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *For each  $k$ , there is a set of linear inequalities and congruences on the parameters  $\delta, K, C$  which corresponds to the property  $A_k$ .*

*Proof.* Note that the class of forbidden triangles associated with  $\delta, K, C$  is a uniformly definable family of relations in Presburger arithmetic, and hence  $A_k$  is a definable property in Presburger arithmetic. Apply quantifier elimination.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *The following are equivalent.*

- *The amalgamation property for  $\mathcal{A}_{K,C}^\delta$  is equivalent to  $A_k$  for some fixed  $k$ ;*
- *The amalgamation property for  $\mathcal{A}_{K,C}^\delta$  is equivalent to a finite combination of linear inequalities and congruences on the parameters.*

We now exhibit such a set of conditions.

## AMALGAMATION

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta \geq 2; 1 \leq K_1 \leq K_2 \leq \delta \text{ or } K_1 = \infty, K_2 = 0; \\
& C_0 \text{ even, } C_1 \text{ odd; } 2\delta + 1 \leq C_0, C_1 \leq 3\delta + 2 \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{and} \\
& \text{(I) } K_1 = \infty \text{ and } K_2 = 0, C_1 = 2\delta + 1; \text{ if } \delta = 2 \text{ then } C' = 8; \\
& \text{or} \\
& \text{(II) } K_1 < \infty \text{ and } C \leq 2\delta + K_1, \text{ and} \\
& \quad \bullet \delta \geq 3; \\
& \quad \bullet C = 2K_1 + 2K_2 + 1; \\
& \quad \bullet K_1 + K_2 \geq \delta; \\
& \quad \bullet K_1 + 2K_2 \leq 2\delta - 1 \\
& \text{(IIA) } C' = C + 1 \text{ or} \\
& \text{(IIB) } C' > C + 1, K_1 = K_2, \text{ and } 3K_2 = 2\delta - 1; \\
& \text{or} \\
& \text{(III) } K_1 < \infty \text{ and } C > 2\delta + K_1, \text{ and} \\
& \quad \bullet \text{ If } \delta = 2 \text{ then } K_2 = 2; \\
& \quad \bullet K_1 + 2K_2 \geq 2\delta - 1 \text{ and } 3K_2 \geq 2\delta; \\
& \quad \bullet \text{ If } K_1 + 2K_2 = 2\delta - 1 \text{ then } C \geq 2\delta + K_1 + 2; \\
& \quad \bullet \text{ If } C' > C + 1 \text{ then } C \geq 2\delta + K_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Method of proof: in one direction, give an explicit amalgamation procedure. In the other direction, give many explicit amalgamation arguments, involving diagrams of order 4 or 5.

As a corollary: amalgamation is equivalent to  $A_5$ .

## 5. ANTIPODAL VARIATIONS

**Definition 5.1.** A graph of finite diameter  $\delta$  is *antipodal* if for every vertex  $v$  there is a unique vertex  $v'$  with  $d(v, v') = \delta$ .

In some contexts, one requires only that the relation  $d(x, y) \in \{0, \delta\}$  should be an equivalence relation.

In the context of metrically homogeneous graphs, the antipodal graphs are the ones with no triangle of perimeter greater than  $2\delta$ . They satisfy the useful *antipodal*

law

$$d(u, v') = \delta - d(u, v)$$

These graphs are in our catalog as KMP-type, but the Henson variations are unusual. We let  $\mathcal{A}_{ap,n}^\delta$  denote the downward closure of the class of antipodal graphs with no  $n$ -clique. In intrinsic terms, the conditions are the stated bound on perimeters together with the omission of all pseudo-cliques  $(A, B)$  on  $n$ -points; here  $A, B$  are cliques and the distance between points of  $A$  and  $B$  is exactly  $\delta - 1$ . By the antipodal law, if we wish to omit an  $n$ -clique then we must omit these pseudo-cliques as well.

## 6. EVIDENCE FOR THE CONJECTURE

We collect a number of prior results with more recent work, some in collaboration with Amato and Macpherson, as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *Any metrically homogeneous graph  $\Gamma$  not in the catalog satisfies the following two conditions.*

- $\Gamma_1$  is primitive;
- Any two vertices at distance 2 have infinitely many common neighbors
- The diameter is at least 4.

We refer to the first two conditions as *generic type*. This can be written in a more explicit way, in terms of the following breakdown.

- $\Gamma_1$  is a Henson graph or random graph; or
- $\Gamma_1$  is an independent set and each vertex of  $\Gamma_2$  has infinitely many neighbors in  $\Gamma_1$ .

There is no detailed plan of attack for the conjecture, but the natural approach is to proceed by induction on diameter when  $\delta$  is finite, and to get the classification in infinite diameter either directly from the finite case, or from its proof.

In more detail one wants the following.

- For  $\delta$  finite, show inductively:
  - The constraints on triangles correspond to the anticipated amalgamation class;
  - Given specified constraints on triangles, any configuration omitting the Henson constraints embeds;
- For  $\delta$  infinite, show that the classification follows from the classification for  $\delta$  finite (this can be attacked directly).

For the diameter 3 classification [AChMc13] we followed this plan.

*Hubička's language:* The parity metric

$d_2(u, v) = (d_o(u, v), d_e(u, v))$  gives the shortest walk of odd (resp. even) length.

This also has amalgamation with bounds  $d^-$ ,  $d^+$  similar to the metric case. But it does not seem that a bound on diameter necessarily bounds the sizes of these numbers.

**Problem** (Hubička). *What are the diameter 2 graphs which are homogeneous for the parity metric?*

Note:  $d_e(u, u) = 0$  but  $d_o(u, u)$  may be a nontrivial function. We may wish to require this to be constant.

#### REFERENCES

- [AChMc13] D. Amato, G. Cherlin, and H. D. Macpherson, “Metrically homogeneous graphs of diameter 3,” Preprint, 2013,
- [Cam98] P. Cameron, “A census of infinite distance transitive graphs” *Discrete Math.* **192** (1998), 11–26.
- [Che11] G. Cherlin, “Two problems on homogeneous structures, revisited,” in **Model Theoretic Methods in Finite Combinatorics**, M. Grohe and J. A. Makowsky eds., Contemporary Mathematics **558**, American Mathematical Society, 2011
- [DM12] I. Dolinka and D. Mašulović, “Countable homogeneous linearly ordered posets,” *European J. Combin.* **33** (2012), 1965–1973.
- [Gar76] A. Gardiner, *Homogeneous graphs*, J. Comb. Th. **20** (1976), 94-102.
- [Lac84] A. Lachlan, “Countable homogeneous tournaments,” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **284** (1984), 431-461.
- [LW80] A. Lachlan and R. Woodrow, “Countable ultrahomogeneous undirected graphs,” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **262** (1980), 51-94.
- [KMP88] P. Komjáth, A. Mekler and J. Pach, Some universal graphs, *Israel J. Math.* **64** (1988), 158–168.
- [Sch79] J. Schmerl, “Countable homogeneous partially ordered sets,” *Alg. Univ.* **9** (1979), 317-321.
- [Sh74] J. Sheehan, *Smoothly embeddable subgraphs*, J. London Math. Soc. **9** (1974), 212-218.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY