12. Numerical solution of Ordinary Differential Equations: Background

Consider the initial value problem (IVP) for a first order ordinary differential equation:

$$
dy/dx = f(x, y), \qquad y(x_0) = y_0.
$$

The following theorem gives sufficient conditions for existence and uniqueness of a solution.

Theorem 13. Let $f(x, y)$ satisfy the following conditions:

(A) $f(x, y)$ is defined and continuous in the strip $x_0 \le x \le b$, $-\infty < y < \infty$, where x_0 and b are finite.

(B) There exists a constant L such that for any $x \in [x_0, b]$ and any two numbers y and y^* , $|f(x,y) - f(x,y^*)| \leq L|y - y^*|$.

Then given any number y_0 , there exists exactly one function $y(x)$ satisfying: (i) $y(x)$ is continuous and differentiable on $[x_0, b]$, (ii) $y'(x) = f(x, y(x))$, $x \in [x_0, b]$, and (iii) $y(x_0) = y_0$, i.e., the IVP has a unique solution.

Note that by the Mean Value Theorem, if f is differentiable with respect to y , then for some point z ,

$$
f(x,y) - f(x,y^*) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,z)(y-y^*).
$$

Hence, if $|\partial f/\partial y(x, z)| \leq L$ for all $x_0 \leq x \leq b$ and $-\infty < z < \infty$, condition (B) will be satisfied.

We next consider an example for which there is more than one solution to the differential equation; in fact there are an infinite number of solutions.

Example: Consider the IVP $y'(x) = y^{1/3}$, $y(0) = 0$ for $x \ge 0$. Then for an arbitrary positive number x_0 , the functions

$$
y(x) = 0
$$
, $0 \le x < x_0$, $y(x) = \pm [(2/3)(x - x_0)]^{3/2}$, $x \ge x_0$,

are continuous, differentiable, and are solutions of the IVP. Note that in this case, if we try to satisfy condition (B), where $y^* = 0$ and y is an arbitrary positive number, then we would need to find a constant L such that $y^{1/3} \leq Ly$, i.e., $y^{-2/3} \leq L$. But since as $y \to 0$, $y^{-2/3} \to \infty$, this is not possible. So there is no contradiction to the uniqueness theorem.

It is also possible to view y as a vector with N components, so that the IVP represents a first order system of odes. One way to treat higher order odes is to reduce them to a first order system by introducing additional variables:

Example: $d^2y/dx^2 = f(x, y, dy/dx)$. Set $z = dy/dx$. Then $dz/dx = f(x, y, z)$ and we obtain the first order system:

$$
\frac{d}{dx}\begin{pmatrix}y\\z\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}z\\f(x,y,z)\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}f_1(x,y,z)\\f_2(x,y,z)\end{pmatrix}.
$$

12.1. Euler's method. Our numerical schemes will seek approximations to the solution $y(x)$ at a sequence of points x_i , i.e., we will approximate $y(x_i)$ by a number y_i . We begin by discussing the simplest method, i.e., Euler's method. Set $y_0 = y(x_0)$ and define

$$
y_{n+1} = y_n + h_n f(x_n, y_n),
$$

 $n = 0, 1, ...,$

where $h_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$.

One motivation of this method is that we have approximated the derivative $\left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)(x_n)$ by the forward difference approximation $(y(x_{n+1}) - y(x_n))/(x_{n+1} - x_n)$ and so:

$$
y(x_{n+1}) \approx y(x_n) + h_n f(x_n, y(x_n)).
$$

We then define our approximations y_n as the value that restores equality, i.e., $y_{n+1} = y_n +$ $h_n f(x_n, y_n)$.

Another motivation for the method is to expand the solution in a Taylor series expansion and neglect higher order terms, i.e.,

$$
y(x_n + h_n) = y(x_n) + h_n y'(x_n) + O(h_n^2)
$$

= $y(x_n) + h_n f(x_n, y(x_n)) + O(h_n^2) \approx y(x_n) + h_n f(x_n, y(x_n)).$

Example: $y' = y$ $y(0) = 1$. Then Euler's method, using a constant step size $h_n = h$, is: $y_{n+1} = y_n + hy_n = (1 + h)y_n$. Hence $y_0 = 1$, $y_1 = 1 + h$, $y_2 = (1 + h)y_1 = (1 + h)^2$, and $y_n = (1 + h)^n$.

We next consider the convergence of Euler's method. Expanding the solution $y(x)$ in a Taylor series, we have

$$
y(x_{n+1}) = y(x_n) + h_n f(x_n, y(x_n)) + (h_n^2/2)y''(\xi_n), \qquad x_n \le \xi_n \le x_{n+1}.
$$

Neglecting any roundoff errors, the approximation given by Euler's method satisfies:

$$
y_{n+1} = y_n + h_n f(x_n, y_n).
$$

Let $e_n = y(x_n) - y_n$. Note $e_0 = 0$. Subtracting equations, we get

$$
e_{n+1} = e_n + h_n[f(x_n, y(x_n)) - f(x_n, y_n)] + (h_n^2/2)y''(\xi_n),
$$

Hence

$$
|e_{n+1}| \le |e_n| + h_n |f(x_n, y(x_n)) - f(x_n, y_n)| + (h_n^2/2)|y''(\xi_n)|
$$

\n
$$
\le |e_n| + h_n L e_n + h_n^2 M_2/2 \le (1 + h_n L)|e_n| + h_n^2 M_2/2,
$$

where we assume that $\max |y''(x)| \leq M_2$. Consider the case when $h_n = h$ for all n. Then

$$
|e_1| \le h^2 M_2/2, \qquad |e_2| \le (1 + hL)|e_1| + h^2 M_2/2 \le [1 + (1 + hL)]h^2 M_2/2,
$$

$$
|e_3| \le (1 + hL)|e_2| + h^2 M_2/2 \le [1 + (1 + hL) + (1 + hL)^2]h^2 M_2/2.
$$

Using the fact that $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} r^i = (1 - r^n)/(1 - r)$, we get

$$
|e_n| \le [1 + (1 + hL) + (1 + hL)^2 + \cdots (1 + hL)^{n-1}]h^2M_2/2 \le [(1 + hL)^n - 1]hM_2/(2L).
$$

Observing that $e^x = 1 + x + e^{\xi}x^2/2 \ge 1 + x$ for all x, we see that $1 + hL \le e^{hL}$ and hence $(1+hL)^n \leq e^{nhL} = e^{(x_n-x_0)L}$. Thus, we get the error estimate:

$$
|e_n| \le \frac{hM_2}{2L} [e^{(x_n - x_0)L} - 1],
$$

so the error bound is $O(h)$. This bound is quite pessimistic and not a realistic way to determine a value of h to guarantee a given accuracy. It also requires a bound on y'' .

We now consider what this result says about convergence of Euler's method, and first what we mean by convergence in this context.

Let x be a point in the interval $[x_0, b]$ and let $y(x)$ denote the true solution of the IVP at the point x. For each value of the step size h, we will have an approximation to $y(x)$ that we denote by y_n^h , where n will be determined by the equation $x - x_0 = nh$. Thus, as h is decreased, the value of n for which y_n denotes the approximation to $y(x)$ will also change. So for convergence, we want:

$$
\lim_{h \to 0 \atop n \to \infty \atop nh = x} y_n^h = y(x).
$$

Example: For $x_0 = 0$, $x = 1/2$, and the sequence $h = 1/4, 1/8, 1/16, 1/32$, we look for the convergence of $y_2^{1/4}$ $y_1^{1/4}, y_4^{1/8}$ $y_4^{1/8}, y_8^{1/16}$ $\frac{1}{8}$, $\frac{1}{32}$.

Suppose in the error estimate for Euler's method, we keep $x_n = x$ fixed, i.e., we choose n so that $nh = x - x_0$ and let $h \to 0$. Then

$$
|y(x) - y_n^h| \le \frac{hM_2}{2L} [e^{(x-x_0)L} - 1] \implies \lim_{\substack{h \to 0 \\ n \to \infty \\ n \to \infty}} |y(x) - y_n^h| = 0,
$$

so we have convergence of the method as $h \to 0$.