13.3. Runge-Kutta methods. We now consider a class of methods, called Runge-Kutta methods, that achieve the same accuracy as Taylor series methods, without calculating derivatives of f. The basic idea is to use a linear combination of several evaluations of f(x,y) to achieve high order accuracy. The simplest case of such methods is again Euler's method.

The basic idea of these methods is to write

$$y_{n+1} - y_n = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i k_i(x_n, y_n),$$

where the w_i 's are constants and

$$k_i(x, y) = h_n f(x + \alpha_i h_n, y + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \beta_{ij} k_j),$$

where $h_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$ (allowing for variable step-size), $\alpha_1 = 0$, and α_i and β_{ij} are constants.

Observe that if the w_i 's, α_i 's, and β_{ij} 's are given, then this is a self-starting method.

$$k_1(x_n, y_n) = h_n f(x_n, y_n), \qquad k_2(x_n, y_n) = h_n f(x_n + \alpha_2 h_n, y_n + \beta_{21} k_1(x_n, y_n)), \qquad , \cdots$$

Note that k_1 has already been computed when it is used to compute k_2 and this is true for all the k_i .

The coefficients in the method are determined by several criteria. The first is to achieve a desired order of accuracy in the local truncation error in the method, defined in a similar manner as above for one-step methods as:

$$LTE = y(x_{n+1}) - y(x_n) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i k_i(x_n, y(x_n)).$$

Rather than consider the general case, for which the computations can get complicated, we illustrate the main idea for the case m=2. To simplify notation, we set $h_n=h$, $\alpha_2=a$ and $\beta_{21}=b$. Thus, we are considering the method

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + w_1 k_1(x_n, y_n) + w_2 k_2(x_n, y_n),$$
 $k_1(x_n, y_n) = h f(x_n, y_n),$ $k_2(x_n, y_n) = h f(x_n + ah, y_n + bk_1(x_n, y_n)).$

To calculate the local truncation error, we expand $y(x_{n+1}) - y(x_n) - \sum_{i=0}^{m} w_i k_i(x_n, y(x_n))$ is a Taylor series about x_n . First, we note that

$$y(x_{n+1}) - y(x_n) = hy'(x_n) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(x_n) + \frac{h^3}{6}y'''(x_n) + O(h^4).$$

Now for y a solution of the IVP, we have

$$y' = f(x,y), y'' = f_x + f_y y' = f_x + f_y f,$$

$$y''' = (f_{xx} + f_{xy}f) + [f_y(f_x + f_y f) + f(f_{yx} + f_{yy}f] = f_{xx} + 2f_{xy}f + f_{yy}f^2 + f_y(f_x + f_y f).$$

Hence,

$$y(x_{n+1}) - y(x_n) = hf + \frac{h^2}{2}(f_x + f_y f) + \frac{h^3}{6}[f_{xx} + 2f_{xy}f + f_{yy}f^2 + f_y(f_x + f_y f)] + O(h^4).$$

We next compute the Taylor series expansion of $w_1k_1(x_n, y(x_n)) + w_2k_2(x_n, y(x_n))$. Now $w_1k_1(x_n, y(x_n)) = w_1hf(x_n, y(x_n))$, so it only remains to compute the expansion of the term

$$w_2k_2(x_n, y(x_n)) = hw_2f(x_n + ah, y(x_n) + bk_1(x_n, y(x_n)))$$

= $hw_2f(x_n + ah, y(x_n) + bhf(x_n, y(x_n))).$

But

$$f(x_n + ah, y(x_n) + bhf(x_n, y(x_n)))$$

$$= f + ahf_x + bhf_y f + \frac{a^2h^2}{2} f_{xx} + abh^2 f_{xy} f + \frac{1}{2} b^2 h^2 f_{yy} f^2 + O(h^3),$$

where all functions on the right side of the equation are evaluated at $(x_n, y(x_n))$. Adding these results, we get

$$w_1 k_1(x_n, y(x_n)) + w_2 k_2(x_n, y(x_n)) = h f[w_1 + w_2] + h^2 f_x[aw_2] + h^2 f_y f[bw_2] + h^3 w_2 [(1/2)a^2 f_{xx} + ab f_{xy} f + (1/2)b^2 f_{yy} f^2] + O(h^4).$$

Hence,

$$LTE = hf[1 - w_1 - w_2] + h^2 f_x[(1/2) - aw_2] + h^2 f_y f[(1/2) - bw_2]$$

$$+ \frac{h^3}{6} [f_{xx}(1 - 3a^2w_2) + 2f_{xy}f(1 - 3abw_2) + f_{yy}f^2(1 - 3b^2w_2) + f_y(f_x + f_y f)] + O(h^4).$$

Choosing

$$w_1 + w_2 = 1,$$
 $aw_2 = 1/2,$ $bw_2 = 1/2,$

we can make the local truncation error $O(h^3)$. Thus, we get a family of methods in which b = a, $w_1 = 1 - 1/(2a)$, and $w_2 = 1/(2a)$, i.e, methods of the form:

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + [1 - 1/(2a)]hf(x_n, y_n) + 1/(2a)hf(x_n + ah, y_n + ahf(x_n, y_n)).$$

For these choices, the local truncation error becomes

$$LTE = \frac{h^3}{12} [(2 - 3a)(f_{xx} + 2f_{xy}f + f_{yy}f^2) + 2f_y(f_x + f_yf)] + O(h^4)$$
$$= \frac{h^3}{12} [(2 - 3a)y''' + 3af_yy''].$$

Clearly, there are no choices that will also make all the $O(h^3)$ terms zero, and in fact, there is not even a "best choice" to minimize the error. For example, one can show that for the equation $y' = y^q$ that if q = 1, then $LTE = -(1/6)h^3y + O(h^4)$ for all a and for $q \neq 1$, $LTE = O(h^4)$ if a = (4q - 2)/(3q - 3), i.e., the best choice of a depends on the equation.

The family of formulas

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + [1 - 1/(2a)]hf(x_n, y_n) + [1/(2a)]hf(x_n + ah, y_n + ahf(x_n, y_n))$$

are called simplified Runge-Kutta methods. Two special cases of interest are a=1, called Heun's method

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + (h/2)f(x_n, y_n) + (h/2)f(x_n + h, y_n + hf(x_n, y_n))$$

and a = 1/2, called the modified Euler's method

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + hf(x_n + h/2, y_n + (h/2)f(x_n, y_n)).$$

To apply the convergence theorem for one-step methods, we only need to determine the Lipschitz constant

$$|\Phi(x, u) - \Phi(x, v)| \le \mathcal{L}|u - v|,$$

where

$$\Phi(x,y) = [1 - 1/(2a)]f(x,y) + [1/(2a)]f(x+ah,y+ahf(x,y)).$$

If we assume that $|f(x,u)-f(x,v)| \leq L|u-v|$, then

$$\begin{split} |\Phi(x,u) - \Phi(x,v)| &\leq |1 - 1/(2a)||f(x,u) - f(x,v)| \\ &+ |1/(2a)||f(x+ah,u+ahf(x,u)) - f(x+ah,v+ahf(x,v))| \\ &\leq |1 - 1/(2a)|L|u-v| + |1/(2a)|L|u+ahf(x,u)-v-ahf(x,v)| \\ &\leq |1 - 1/(2a)|L|u-v| + |1/(2a)|L[|u-v|+ah|f(x,u)-f(x,v)|] \\ &\leq |1 - 1/(2a)|L|u-v| + |1/(2a)|L[|u-v|+ahL|u-v|] \\ &= L|u-v|[|1 - 1/(2a)| + |1/(2a)| + |hL/2|| \leq \mathcal{L}|u-v|, \end{split}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L} = L[|1 - 1/(2a)| + |1/(2a)| + |h_0 L/2|],$$

for all $h \leq h_0$.

The classical 4th order Runge Kutta method (requiring 4 function evaluations per step) is given by:

$$k_1 = h_n f(x_n, y_n),$$
 $k_2 = h_n f(x_n + h_n/2, y_n + k_1/2),$
 $k_3 = h_n f(x_n + h_n/2, y_n + k_2/2),$ $k_4 = h_n f(x_n + h_n, y_n + k_3),$

and

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + (k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)/6.$$

If f and y are vectors, i.e., we wish to solve

$$Y' = F(x, Y), \qquad Y(x_0) = Y_0$$

where $Y = (y_1, \dots y_n)^T$ and

$$F(x,Y) = (f_1(x,y_1,\ldots,y_n),\ldots,f_n(x,y_1,\ldots,y_n))^T.$$

We then replace k_i by the vector $K_i = (k_{i,1}, \dots, k_{i,n})$.

Remark: For m = 1, 2, 3, 4, mth order formulas can be constructed using only m function evaluations per step. For m = 5, we require > m function evaluations.

If f and y are vectors, i.e., we wish to solve

$$Y' = F(x, Y), \qquad Y(x_0) = Y_0$$

where $Y = (y_1, \dots y_n)^T$ and

$$F(x,Y) = (f_1(x, y_1, \dots, y_n), \dots, f_n(x, y_1, \dots, y_n))^T.$$

We then replace k_i by the vector $K_i = (k_{i,1}, \ldots, k_{i,n})$.

Example: Let $Y = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ z \end{pmatrix}$ and $F(x,Y) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x,w,z) \\ f_2(x,w,z) \end{pmatrix}$. The modified Euler's method for the system of differential equations Y' = F(x,Y), with initial condition $Y(x_0) = Y_0$, is given by:

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n + hF(x_n + h/2, Y_n + (h/2)F(x_n, y_n)).$$

Use this method to find approximations to w(h) and z(h) for the system

$$w' = z$$
, $z' = -cw$, $w(0) = a$, $z(0) = b$,

where a, b, c are given constants.

In terms of w and z, we get:

$$w_{n+1} = w_n + hf_1(x_n + h/2, w_n + (h/2)f_1(x_n, w_n, z_n), z_n + (h/2)f_2(x_n, w_n, z_n)),$$

$$z_{n+1} = z_n + hf_2(x_n + h/2, w_n + (h/2)f_1(x_n, w_n, z_n), z_n + (h/2)f_2(x_n, w_n, z_n)).$$

Now

$$w' = f_1(x, w, z) = z,$$
 $z' = f_2(x, w, z) = -cw,$ $w(0) = a,$ $z(0) = b.$

So

$$w_{n+1} = w_n + hf_1(x_n + h/2, w_n + (h/2)z_n, z_n - (h/2)cw_n) = w_n + h(z_n - (h/2)cw_n),$$

$$z_{n+1} = z_n + hf_2(x_n + h/2, w_n + (h/2)z_n, z_n - (h/2)cw_n) = z_n - hc(w_n + (h/2)z_n).$$

Then

$$w(h) \approx w_1 = w_0 + h[z_0 - (h/2)cw_0] = a + hb - ach^2/2.$$

 $z(h) \approx z_1 = z_0 - ch[w_0 + (h/2)z_0] = b - cha - cbh^2/2.$