

5. THE FINITE FOURIER TRANSFORM

We consider the approximation of a periodic function f with period 2π , i.e., $f(t + 2\pi) = f(t)$. Note that a function with a more general period can be reduced to this case in the following simple way. If $g(t + \tau) = g(t)$, and we set $f(t) = g(\tau t/(2\pi))$, then

$$f(t + 2\pi) = g(\tau(t + 2\pi)/(2\pi)) = g(\tau t/(2\pi) + \tau) = g(\tau t/(2\pi)) = f(t).$$

5.1. Trigonometric interpolation. We wish to approximate f by the trigonometric polynomial

$$p_n(t) = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n [a_j \cos(jt) + b_j \sin(jt)],$$

where we assume $|a_n| + |b_n| \neq 0$. Since p_n has $2n + 1$ coefficients, we consider the interpolation problem:

Given $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{2n} < 2\pi$, find $p_n(t)$ satisfying $p_n(t_k) = f(t_k)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n$. Since $\cos \theta = (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta})/2$, $\sin \theta = (e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta})/(2i)$, we may rewrite the above as

$$p_n(t) = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \left[a_j \frac{e^{ijt} + e^{-ijt}}{2} + b_j \frac{e^{ijt} - e^{-ijt}}{2i} \right] = \sum_{j=-n}^n c_j e^{ijt},$$

where

$$c_0 = a_0, \quad c_j = (a_j - ib_j)/2, \quad c_{-j} = (a_j + ib_j)/2, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Now consider the case of equally spaced points $t_k = 2\pi k/(2n + 1)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n$. To solve the interpolation problem, we need to find c_j , $j = -n, \dots, n$ such that

$$\sum_{j=-n}^n c_j e^{ijt_k} = f(t_k), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n.$$

To do so, we will need the following result.

Lemma 2. For all integers m ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{im t_k} = \begin{cases} 2n + 1, & \text{if } e^{it_m} = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } e^{it_m} \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $m t_k = m 2\pi k/(2n + 1) = k t_m$, we get by the sum formula for a geometric series that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{im t_k} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{ikt_m} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} [e^{it_m}]^k = \begin{cases} 2n + 1, & \text{if } e^{it_m} = 1, \\ ([e^{it_m}]^{2n+1} - 1)/(e^{it_m} - 1), & \text{if } e^{it_m} \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

But

$$[e^{it_m}]^{2n+1} = e^{(2n+1)it_m} = e^{i2\pi m} = 1,$$

so the right hand side in the second case is zero. □

We use this result in the following way. Multiply the equation

$$\sum_{j=-n}^n c_j e^{ijt_k} = f(t_k)$$

by e^{-ilt_k} , where $-n \leq l \leq n$, and sum from $k = 0$ to $2n$ to get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n} \sum_{j=-n}^n c_j e^{i(j-l)t_k} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{-ilt_k} f(t_k).$$

Reversing the order of summation and applying the lemma (with $m = j - l$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \sum_{j=-n}^n c_j e^{i(j-l)t_k} &= \sum_{j=-n}^n c_j \sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{i(j-l)t_k} \\ &= \sum_{j=-n}^{l-1} c_j \sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{i(j-l)t_k} + c_l \sum_{k=0}^{2n} 1 + \sum_{j=l+1}^n c_j \sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{i(j-l)t_k} = c_l(2n+1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that since $-n \leq j, l \leq n$, $-2n \leq j - l \leq 2n$, and hence $|j - l|/(2n + 1) < 1$. Thus $e^{it_{j-l}} \neq 1$ unless $j = l$. Replacing l by j , we conclude that

$$(5.1) \quad c_j = \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{-ij t_k} f(t_k), \quad j = -n, \dots, n.$$

We then recover $p_n(t)$ by determining the a_j and b_j from c_j , i.e.,

$$a_0 = c_0, \quad a_j = c_j + c_{-j}, \quad b_j = (c_{-j} - c_j)/i = i(c_j - c_{-j}).$$

The coefficients $\{c_{-n}, \dots, c_n\}$ are called the finite Fourier transform of the data $f(t_0), \dots, f(t_{2n})$. Formula (5.1) is related to the formula for the Fourier coefficients of $f(t)$, i.e.,

$$f(t) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_j e^{ijt}, \quad \gamma_j = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ijt} f(t) dt.$$

To see this relationship, we approximate the above integral by the composite trapezoidal rule using N subdivisions of $[0, 2\pi]$. If $s_k = 2\pi k/N$, $k = 0, \dots, N$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_j &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ijt} f(t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{s_k}^{s_{k+1}} e^{-ijt} f(t) dt \\ &\approx \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{2\pi}{N} \frac{1}{2} [e^{-ijs_k} f(s_k) + e^{-ijs_{k+1}} f(s_{k+1})] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{-ijs_k} f(s_k), \end{aligned}$$

where we have applied the basic trapezoidal rule $\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx (b-a)[f(a) + f(b)]/2$ on each subinterval and have used the periodicity of f (i.e., $f(2\pi) = f(0)$) in the last step. The coefficients c_j in (5.1) correspond to the choice $N = 2n + 1$ (for which $s_k = t_k$).

5.2. The Fast Fourier Transform (FFT). We next consider a fast method, called the Fast Fourier Transform for computing the coefficients $\{c_j\}$, when the number of data points is large. To describe the FFT, we consider a more general problem, in which the data f is of length N , where $N = 2^r$ for some integer r . Note, in formula (5.1), we considered the special case $N = 2n + 1$. Then letting $w_N = e^{2\pi i/N}$, the generalization of (5.1) becomes

$$c_j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{-ijk2\pi/N} f(t_k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (w_N)^{-kj} f(t_k), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1.$$

Note that since $(w_N)^{-k(j+N)} = (w_N)^{-kj}$, $c_{j+N} = c_j$. Thus, the range $j = -n, \dots, n$ in (5.1) can be changed to $j = 0, \dots, 2n$, which in our generalized problem becomes $j = 0, \dots, N - 1$.

To evaluate c_j if w_N^j is known requires $N - 1$ additions, $N - 1$ multiplications, and 1 division (if we use nested multiplication). For example, to calculate $p(x) = a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$, we write $p(x)$ in the form: $p(x) = x[x(a_3x + a_2) + a_1] + a_0$. Then, evaluation of $p(x)$ takes 3 additions and 3 multiplications. If we compute $w_N^{-(l+1)}$ by $w_N^{-1}w_N^{-l}$, then the computation of w_N^j for $j = 0, \dots, N$ requires N multiplications. Hence, the cost of computing all the c_j , $j = 0, \dots, N - 1$ is $N(N - 1) + N = N^2$ multiplications and $N(N - 1)$ additions. We now present a method (FFT) that substantially reduces this cost from $O(N^2)$ operations to $O(N \ln_2 N)$ operations.

The basic idea of the FFT is to reduce the computation of the finite Fourier transform of a vector $\{f_k\}$ of size $2m$ to the transform of two vectors of size m . Let

$$\mathbf{F} = (f_0, \dots, f_{2m-1}), \quad \mathbf{F}' = (f_0, f_2, \dots, f_{2m-2}), \quad \mathbf{F}'' = (f_1, f_3, \dots, f_{2m-1}).$$

The first step is to show how to compute $\{c_j\}$ assuming we know

$$c'_j = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} f_{2l} w_m^{-lj}, \quad c''_j = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} f_{2l+1} w_m^{-lj}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1.$$

Now for $\mathbf{F} = (f_0, \dots, f_{2m-1})$,

$$c_j = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} f_k w_{2m}^{-kj} = \frac{1}{2m} \left[\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} f_{2l} w_{2m}^{-2lj} + \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} f_{2l+1} w_{2m}^{-(2l+1)j} \right].$$

Since $w_m = e^{2\pi i/m} = [e^{2\pi i/(2m)}]^2 = w_{2m}^2$, we get $w_{2m}^{-2lj} = w_m^{-lj}$. Hence, for $j = 0, \dots, m - 1$,

$$(5.2) \quad c_j = \frac{1}{2m} \left[\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} f_{2l} w_m^{-lj} + w_{2m}^{-j} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} f_{2l+1} w_m^{-lj} \right] = (c'_j + w_{2m}^{-j} c''_j) / 2.$$

To calculate the coefficients c_m, \dots, c_{2m-1} , we use the following identities.

$$\begin{aligned} w_m^{-l(m+j)} &= w_m^{-lm} w_m^{-lj} = [e^{2\pi i/m}]^{-lm} w_m^{-lj} = e^{-2\pi il} w_m^{-lj} = w_m^{-lj}. \\ w_{2m}^{-(m+j)} &= w_{2m}^{-m} w_{2m}^{-j} = [e^{2\pi i/(2m)}]^{-m} w_{2m}^{-j} = e^{-\pi i} w_{2m}^{-j} = -w_{2m}^{-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Then using (5.2), with j replaced by $m + j$, (and choosing $N = 2m$), we get for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$,

$$c_{m+j} = \frac{1}{2m} \left[\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} f_{2l} w_m^{-lj} - w_{2m}^{-j} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} f_{2l+1} w_m^{-lj} \right] = (c'_j - w_{2m}^{-j} c''_j)/2.$$

Hence, if for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$, c'_j and c''_j are known, the calculation of c_j for $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2m - 1$ requires the following operations. First, the computation of w_{2m}^{-j} , $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Starting from w_{2m}^{-1} and using the formula $w_{2m}^{-j} = w_{2m}^{-1} w_{2m}^{-(j-1)}$, this requires a total of $m - 1$ multiplications. Next, the formation of m products $w_{2m}^{-j} c''_j$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ which requires m multiplications. Finally, we need m additions and m subtractions, a total of $2m$ additive operations. We ignore division by 2, which is a fast operation. Thus, we see that the computation of $\{c_j\}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2m - 1$ requires essentially $2m$ multiplications and $2m$ additions plus the evaluation of 2 finite Fourier transforms of size m . To evaluate a finite Fourier transform of size $N = 2^r$, we use repeated application of this idea. There will be r levels in this process, ending in the evaluation of a finite Fourier transform of size one. Hence, to calculate the finite Fourier transform of $\{f_0, \dots, f_N\}$, where $N = 2^r$, the total number of multiplications will be:

$$\begin{aligned} 2N + 2 \text{ FFT of size } N/2 &= 2N + 2 \left[2 \frac{N}{2} + 2 \text{ FFT of size } N/4 \right] \\ &= 2N + 2 \left[2 \frac{N}{2} + 2 \left(2 \frac{N}{4} + 2 \text{ FFT of size } N/8 \right) \right] \\ &= \dots = 2N + 2 \left[2 \frac{N}{2} \right] + 4 \left[2 \frac{N}{4} \right] + \dots + 2^r \left[2 \frac{N}{2^r} \right] \\ &= 2N(r + 1) = 2N(\ln_2 N + 1) = O(N \ln_2 N), \end{aligned}$$

and a similar number of additions/subtractions. Thus, the number of operations in the FFT is proportional to $N \ln_2 N$, compared to N^2 , if we do it in a naive way. So, if $N = 1,000$, this reduces the cost from 1,000,000 operations to 10,000.