

17. QUALITATIVE PROPERTIES OF FINITE DIFFERENCE SCHEMES

17.1. **Dissipation.** Consider the approximation of the linear transport equation $u_t + \alpha u_x = 0$ by the finite difference schemes:

$$[U_j^{n+1} - U_j^{n-1}]/(2k) + \alpha[U_{j+1}^n - U_{j-1}^n]/(2h) = 0, \quad \text{leapfrog,}$$

$$[U_j^{n+1} - U_j^n]/k + \alpha[U_{j+1}^n - U_{j-1}^n]/(2h) - \alpha^2 k[U_{j+1}^n - 2U_j^n + U_{j-1}^n]/(2h^2) = 0, \quad \text{Lax Wendroff.}$$

Introducing $\lambda = \alpha k/h$, we may rewrite these in the form:

$$U_j^{n+1} = U_j^{n-1} - \lambda[U_{j+1}^n - U_{j-1}^n], \quad \text{leapfrog,}$$

$$U_j^{n+1} = U_j^n - (\lambda/2)[U_{j+1}^n - U_{j-1}^n] + (\lambda^2/2)[U_{j+1}^n - 2U_j^n + U_{j-1}^n]. \quad \text{Lax Wendroff.}$$

Suppose that $U_j^n = (-1)^{j+n}\epsilon$, $n = 0, 1$, i.e., a mesh function with a high frequency oscillation. Then for the leapfrog scheme,

$$U_j^{n+1} = (-1)^{j+n-1}\epsilon - \lambda[(-1)^{j+n+1}\epsilon - (-1)^{j+n-1}\epsilon] = (-1)^{j+n-1}\epsilon = (-1)^{j+n+1}\epsilon.$$

So the leapfrog scheme propagates the disturbance without damping it. If we consider Lax-Wendroff, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} U_j^{n+1} &= (-1)^{j+n}\epsilon + (\lambda^2/2)\epsilon[(-1)^{j+n+1} - 2(-1)^{j+n} + (-1)^{j+n-1}] \\ &= (-1)^{j+n}\epsilon[1 - 2\lambda^2] = [1 - 2\lambda^2]U_j^n. \end{aligned}$$

If $|\lambda| < 1$, then $|1 - 2\lambda^2| < 1$, so the oscillation decreases in amplitude at each iteration. The decreasing of high frequency oscillations is called *dissipation*.

Another way to understand dissipation of a numerical approximation scheme is to look at the scheme as a approximation of a modified equation. Consider the approximation of the transport equation $Lu \equiv u_t + \alpha u_x = 0$ by the Lax-Friedrichs scheme:

$$L_{h,k}U_j^n \equiv \frac{1}{k}\{U_j^{n+1} - (1/2)[U_{j-1}^n + U_{j+1}^n]\} - \frac{\alpha}{2h}[U_{j+1}^n - U_{j-1}^n] = 0,$$

If we look at the local truncation error of the method, we get by Taylor series expansions that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{h,k}u(x, t) - Lu(x, t) &= \frac{1}{k}\{u(x, t+k) - \frac{1}{2}[u(x-h, t) + u(x+h, t)] \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha}{2h}[u(x+h, t) - u(x-h, t)] - u_t(x, t) - \alpha u_x(x, t)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{k}\{(u + ku_t + \frac{k^2}{2}u_{tt} + \dots) - (u + \frac{h^2}{2}u_{xx} + \dots)\} \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha}{2h}[2hu_x + \frac{1}{3}h^3u_{xxx} + \dots] - u_t - \alpha u_x \\ &= \frac{k}{2}u_{tt} - \frac{h^2}{2k}u_{xx} + O(k^2) + O(h^3/k) + O(h^2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $k = O(h)$, then the local truncation error is $O(k) = O(h)$. However, if we view the Lax-Friedrichs scheme as an approximation of

$$u_t + \alpha u_x + \frac{k}{2}u_{tt} - \frac{h^2}{2k}u_{xx} = 0,$$

with $k = O(h)$, then the local truncation error is $O(k^2) = O(h^2)$. The above equation is called the modified equation. If u is a sufficiently smooth solution of the modified equation, then by differentiating the equation, we obtain

$$u_{tt} = -\alpha u_{tx} - \frac{k}{2} u_{ttt} - \frac{h^2}{2k} u_{xxt} = -\alpha[-\alpha u_{xx}] + O(k) = \alpha^2 u_{xx} + O(k).$$

Inserting this result, we see that the Lax-Friedrichs scheme is a second order approximation to the equation

$$u_t + \alpha u_x = \frac{h^2}{2k} \left[1 - \frac{k^2}{h^2} \alpha^2 \right] u_{xx}.$$

Setting $\lambda = \alpha k/h$, we can rewrite this in the form

$$u_t + \alpha u_x = h \frac{\alpha(1 - \lambda^2)}{2\lambda} u_{xx}.$$

Thus, we have effectively added a small diffusion term to the right hand side that tends to smooth out the solution as t increases.

17.2. Dispersion. We again consider the transport equation $u_t + \alpha u_x = 0$ with initial condition $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$. Recalling that the Fourier transform of a function $f(x)$ is defined by

$$\hat{f}(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ipx} f(x) dx,$$

we can write

$$\hat{u}(p, t + k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ipx} u(x, t + k) dx.$$

But for α a constant, $u(x, t) = u_0(x - \alpha t)$ and so

$$\hat{u}(p, t + k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ipx} u_0(x - \alpha[t + k]) dx.$$

Setting $y = x - \alpha k$, we get

$$\hat{u}(p, t + k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ip(y+\alpha k)} u_0(y - \alpha t) dy = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-ip\alpha k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ipy} u_0(y, t) dy.$$

Hence $\hat{u}(p, t + k) = e^{-ip\alpha k} \hat{u}(p, t)$.

If we approximate this problem by a two level finite difference scheme, then we showed that

$$\hat{U}^{n+1}(p) = G(p, h, k) \hat{U}^n(p).$$

So we expect that $G(p, h, k)$ should be a good approximation to $e^{-ip\alpha k}$. Write

$$G(p, h, k) = |G| e^{i\theta} = |G| e^{-ip\omega k},$$

i.e., $\theta = -p\omega k$. In general, $\omega = \omega(p)$ will depend on p and is called the phase speed, i.e., the speed at which waves of frequency p are propagated by the finite difference scheme. To propagate at the correct scheme, we would need $\omega = \alpha$ for all p . Since in general, ω depends on p , ω will only be an approximation to α . *Dispersion* is the phenomena of waves of different frequencies traveling at different speeds. Define $\alpha - \omega(p)$ to be the phase error.

Example: Lax-Wendroff scheme:

$$L_{h,k}U_j^n \equiv [U_j^{n+1} - U_j^n]/k + \alpha[U_{j+1}^n - U_{j-1}^n]/(2h) - \alpha^2k[U_{j+1}^n - 2U_j^n + U_{j-1}^n]/(2h^2) = 0.$$

The amplification factor is:

$$g = 1 - 2\lambda^2 \sin^2(ph/2) - i\lambda \sin(ph), \quad \lambda = \alpha k/h.$$

If we write $g = |g|e^{i\theta} = |g|(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)$, then

$$\tan \theta = \frac{\text{Imag } g}{\text{Real } g} = \frac{-\lambda \sin(ph)}{1 - 2\lambda^2 \sin^2(ph/2)}. \quad \theta = -p\omega k.$$

Using Taylor series expansions, one can show that

$$\omega = \alpha[1 + O(p^2h^2)].$$

To see this, we use

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1-x} &= 1 + x + O(x^2), & \sin x &= x - x^3/6 + O(x^5), \\ \sin^2 x &= x^2 - x^4/3 + O(x^6), & \tan^{-1} x &= x + O(x^3) \end{aligned}$$

to get

$$\frac{-\lambda \sin(ph)}{1 - 2\lambda^2 \sin^2(ph/2)} = -\lambda(ph) [1 + O(p^2h^2)] [1 + O(p^2h^2)] = -\lambda(ph)[1 + O(p^2h^2)].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} -p\omega k = \theta &= \tan^{-1}[\tan \theta] = \tan^{-1} \left(-\lambda(ph)[1 + O(p^2h^2)] \right) \\ &= [-\lambda(ph)[1 + O(p^2h^2)] + O(p^3h^3)] = -\lambda(ph)[1 + O(p^2h^2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\omega = \frac{\lambda(ph)[1 + O(p^2h^2)]}{pk} = \frac{\alpha kp[1 + O(p^2h^2)]}{pk} = \alpha[1 + O(p^2h^2)].$$