

**2.13. Error estimates in higher dimensions.** One can derive similar estimates in higher dimensions, but the proofs are more complicated.

In the case of piecewise linear interpolation, one first shows that on a triangle  $T$ ,

$$\|u - u_I\|_{L^2(T)} \leq C_T h_T^2 |u|_{2,T}, \quad |u|_{2,T}^2 = \|u_{xx}\|_{L^2(T)}^2 + \|u_{xy}\|_{L^2(T)}^2 + \|u_{yy}\|_{L^2(T)}^2.$$

To get this result, define

$$F_i(s) = u([1-s]x + sx_i, [1-s]y + sy_i).$$

To simplify notation, let  $\xi_i(s) = [1-s]x + sx_i$ ,  $\eta_i(s) = [1-s]y + sy_i$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} F_i'(s) &= u_x(\xi_i(s), \eta_i(s))(x_i - x) + u_y(\xi_i(s), \eta_i(s))(y_i - y), \\ F_i''(s) &= u_{xx}(\xi_i(s), \eta_i(s))(x_i - x)^2 + 2u_{xy}(\xi_i(s), \eta_i(s))(x_i - x)(y_i - y) \\ &\quad + u_{yy}(\xi_i(s), \eta_i(s))(y_i - y)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the one-dimensional Taylor series, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(x_i, y_i) &= F_i(1) = F_i(0) + F_i'(0) + \int_0^1 F_i''(t)(1-t) dt \\ &= u(x, y) + u_x(x, y)(x_i - x) + u_y(x, y)(y_i - y) + \int_0^1 F_i''(t)(1-t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Next recall that the linear interpolant  $u_I$  of  $u$  may be written  $u_I(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i u(x_i, y_i)$ , where  $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(x, y)$  are the barycentric coordinates. Then using the Taylor series given above, and the identities  $\sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i = 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i x_i = x$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i y_i = y$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} u_I(x, y) &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i u(x_i, y_i) = u(x, y) \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i + u_x(x, y) \sum_{i=1}^3 (x_i - x) \lambda_i \\ &\quad + u_y(x, y) \sum_{i=1}^3 (y_i - y) \lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i \int_0^1 F_i''(t)(1-t) dt \\ &= u(x, y) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i \int_0^1 F_i''(t)(1-t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $R_i = \int_0^1 F_i''(t)(1-t) dt$ , we can show

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i R_i \right)^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_T R_i^2.$$

Then

$$\int_T (u_I - u)^2(x, y) dx dy = \int_T \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i R_i \right)^2 dx dy \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_T R_i^2 dx dy.$$

A typical term on the right hand side of the above looks like

$$\int_T (x - x_i)^4 \left[ \int_0^1 (1-t) u_{xx}(\xi_i(t), \eta_i(t)) dt \right]^2 dx dy.$$

Need some technical estimates to complete the proof, but can see that  $|x - x_i| \leq h$  on the triangle  $T$  gives the power of  $h$  in the estimate and that second derivatives come in.

If we assume that  $h_T \leq h$  for all  $T$ , then as in the one-dimensional case, we get

$$\|u - u_I\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_T \int_T (u - u_I)^2 dx dy \leq C \sum_T h_T^4 |u|_{2,T}^2 \leq Ch^4 \sum_T |u|_{2,T}^2 = Ch^4 |u|_{2,\Omega}^2.$$

Thus, we finally obtain

$$\|u - u_I\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch^2 |u|_{2,\Omega}.$$

To get estimates for the derivatives, we usually make the assumption that the mesh is *shape regular*. Let  $h_T$  denote the diameter of  $T$  and  $\rho_T$  the diameter of the largest ball contained in  $T$ . Define the *shape constant*  $\sigma_T = h_T/\rho_T$ . If we consider a family of meshes  $\mathcal{T}_h$ ,  $0 < h < 1$ , we say that the family is *shape regular* if for all  $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$  and all  $0 < h < 1$ ,  $\sigma_T \leq C$  independent of  $T$  and  $h$ . One can then show that

$$\|\nabla u - \nabla u_I\|_{L^2(T)} \leq C_T h |u|_{2,T},$$

where  $C_T$  depends on the shape constant  $\sigma_T$ , and then for shape regular meshes, we have by summing the squares of this inequality that

$$\|\nabla u - \nabla u_I\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch |u|_{2,\Omega}.$$

If instead of continuous, piecewise linear interpolation, we define  $u_I$  to be the continuous piecewise polynomial of degree  $\leq r$  interpolating  $u$  at the degrees of freedom, then the analogous estimates are:

$$\|u - u_I\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch^{r+1} |u|_{r+1,\Omega}, \quad \|\nabla u - \nabla u_I\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch^r |u|_{r+1,\Omega},$$

where

$$|u|_{r+1,\Omega}^2 = \sum_{|\alpha|=r} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

#### 2.14. Order of convergence estimates for Ritz-Galerkin approximation schemes.

We now return to the approximation of the variational problem: Find  $u \in V$  such that

$$a(u, v) = F(v), \quad \text{for all } v \in V,$$

by the standard Ritz-Galerkin approximation scheme:

$$\text{Find } u_h \in V_h \text{ such that } a(u_h, v_h) = F(v_h), \quad \text{for all } v_h \in V_h.$$

We have previously established that under the conditions

$$a(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_1^2, \quad |a(u, v)| \leq M \|u\|_1 \|v\|_1, \quad |F(v)| \leq K \|v\|_1,$$

we have the quasi-optimal error estimate

$$\|u - u_h\|_1 \leq \frac{M}{\alpha} \|u - v_h\|_1, \quad \text{for all } v_h \in V_h.$$

The interpolation error estimate derived above then shows that if we choose the space  $V_h$  to consist of continuous piecewise polynomials of degree  $\leq k$  and the solution  $u \in H^{r+1}(\Omega)$  with  $1 \leq r \leq k$ , then

$$\|u - u_h\|_1 \leq Ch^r |u|_{r+1}.$$

We observe, however, that the error  $\|u - u_I\|_{L^2} \leq Ch^{r+1} |u|_{r+1}$ . We next show that the same improved order of convergence in  $L^2(\Omega)$  also holds for the error  $u - u_h$ .

**Lemma 8.** *Suppose  $\Omega$  is a convex polygon. Then, under the hypotheses stated above,*

$$\|u - u_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch \|u - u_h\|_1 \leq Ch^{r+1} |u|_{r+1}.$$

*Proof.* The proof uses a combination of elliptic regularity and duality. For simplicity, we consider only the variational formulation corresponding to the boundary value problem:

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

i.e.,  $V = \mathring{H}^1(\Omega)$ ,  $a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx$ ,  $F(v) = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx$ . More general problems can be done in a similar way. For such problems, the following regularity result for the solution  $u$  is known: Given  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , there exists a constant  $C$  independent of  $u$  and  $f$ , such that  $\|u\|_2 \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ . Note that the equation says that the combination  $\partial^2 u / \partial x^2 + \partial^2 u / \partial y^2 \in L^2(\Omega)$ . The regularity result says that each of the second derivatives  $\in L^2(\Omega)$  and satisfies the indicated bound. To establish the lemma, we introduce the ‘‘dual problem’’: Find  $w \in V = \mathring{H}^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$a(v, w) = (u - u_h, v), \quad \text{for all } v \in V,$$

i.e.,  $w$  is the solution of the boundary value problem:

$$-\Delta w = u - u_h \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad w = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

The dual problem is chosen to have the same form as the original boundary value problem, but where  $f$  is replaced by the error  $u - u_h$ . From the elliptic regularity result, we know that  $w$  satisfies  $\|w\|_2 \leq C \|u - u_h\|_{L^2}$ . Then, using Galerkin orthogonality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_h\|_{L^2}^2 &= (u - u_h, u - u_h) = a(u - u_h, w) = a(u - u_h, w - w_I) \\ &\leq M \|u - u_h\|_1 \|w - w_I\|_1 \leq C \|u - u_h\|_1 h \|w\|_2 \leq Ch \|u - u_h\|_1 \|u - u_h\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|u - u_h\|_{L^2} \leq Ch \|u - u_h\|_1 \leq Ch^{r+1} |u|_{r+1}.$$

□